Social Science Chronicle

OPEN ACCESS

Peer-Reviewed, International, Academic Research Journal

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# From Awareness to Action: Enhancing Equity and Inclusion in Classrooms Through Culturally Responsive Teaching

**Kaesang Widido**[1*]

[1] Singapore University of Social Sciences, Clementi Road, Singapore.

** Corresponding Author*

**Abstract**

*Culturally Responsive Pedagogy (CRP) stands as a forward-looking educational paradigm centered on embracing, acknowledging, and seamlessly integrating the diverse cultural backgrounds of students into the educational landscape. This exploration delves into the multifaceted dimensions of CRP, offering insights into its fundamental elements, methodologies, and overarching significance within educational settings. CRP fundamentally aims to cultivate an inclusive educational milieu by celebrating and capitalizing on the intricate tapestry woven by students' cultural roots, experiences, and viewpoints. Its core components revolve around a deep acknowledgment of cultural nuances, purposeful integration of culturally pertinent materials and approaches, and a steadfast commitment to fostering introspection, social equity, and the pursuit of justice. This study delves deeply into the diverse facets of CRP, emphasizing their collective impact in establishing an environment conducive to nurturing and security in education. It accentuates the vital need for collaborative and experiential pedagogical strategies, the creation of affirming classroom environments, and the cultivation of profound teacher-student connections. Moreover, this research presents a comprehensive discourse on CRP, drawing from an amalgamation of varied theoretical paradigms and empirical inquiries to reinforce its foundational principles. It offers actionable recommendations tailored for educators eager to seamlessly integrate CRP into their teaching methodologies, thereby advancing inclusivity and equity in education. CRP emerges as an indispensable cornerstone for fostering an educational system founded on equity and justice, resonating with students hailing from diverse cultural backgrounds. This paper underscores the pivotal role of CRP not only in recognizing cultural diversity but also in actively leveraging it to craft a more enriching and supportive learning environment for every student. It underscores the transformative potential of CRP in redefining education to reflect and honour the mosaic of cultural identities present in today's classrooms.*

**Keywords**

*Collaborative Learning, Critical Consciousness, Cultural Diversity, Culturally Responsive Pedagogy, Equity and Inclusion, Experiential Learning, Social Justice.*

**Citation**
Widido, K. (2023). From Awareness to Action: Enhancing Equity and Inclusion in Classrooms Through Culturally Responsive Teaching. *Social Science Chronicle*, Vol. 3, Issue - 1, pp. 1-19.

**Digital Object Identifier (DOI)**
*https://doi.org/10.56106/ssc.2023.005*

**Received –** January 09, 2023
**Accepted –** May 19, 2023
**Published –** May 26, 2023

**Web-Link**
All the contents of this peer reviewed article as well as author details are available at http://socialsciencechronicle.com/article-ssc-2023-005



## 1. Introduction

Within the educational milieu, the cultivation of cultural responsiveness, encapsulated in the pedagogical framework known as "Culturally Responsive Pedagogy" (CRP), endeavors to meticulously consider and address the cultural identities and experiences of students (Richards, Brown, & Forde, 2007; Schmidt & Ma, 2006). To effectively employ this strategy, educators must demonstrate an adept ability to discern and acknowledge the manifold ways in which a student's cultural heritage influences their cognitive processes, behaviors, and acquisition of knowledge (Griner & Stewart, 2013; Maasum, Maarof, & Ali, 2014). CRP's noble aspiration resides in the creation of a classroom environment where all young learners experience a profound sense of security, recognition, and intellectual stimulation (C. Sleeter, 2010; C. E. Sleeter, 2011).

The domain of culturally sensitive teaching encompasses a multifarious spectrum of considerations (Dallavis, 2011; Gunn, Bennett, Evans, Peterson, & Welsh, 2013). The principal objective of this study is to meticulously dissect the multifaceted dimensions of CRP, ranging from its conceptual delineation and historical underpinnings to its theoretical moorings and practical applications in the educational arena (Brockenbrough, 2016; Martin, Pirbhai-Illich, & Pete, 2017). The articulation of CRP represents one of its fundamental rudiments. In essence, CRP embodies an educational methodology that underscores the recognition and reverence for each student's unique cultural heritage and life experiences (Herrera, 2022; C. D. Lee, 2004). It constitutes a theoretical framework that scrutinizes the influence of students' cultural backgrounds on their cognitive development and worldviews (Herrera, Holmes, & Kavimandan, 2012; Stoicovy, 2002).

The cogent explication of CRP is pivotal, for it establishes the foundational understanding of the concept's worth and utility within the pedagogical realm (Atwater, Freeman, Butler, & Draper-Morris, 2010; Rockich-Winston & Wyatt, 2019). Another pivotal facet of CRP pertains to its evolutionary trajectory over time. It has adapted and evolved in response to the ever-shifting social and political landscapes (B. J. Frye & Vogt, 2010; Woodley, Hernandez, Parra, & Negash, 2017). For instance, the advent of the Civil Rights Movement in the 1960s and 1970s exerted a seminal impact on the discourse surrounding CRP. It was during this epoch that educators and activists began to question the prevailing educational orthodoxy, which historically marginalized and excluded students from diverse racial backgrounds. The narrative of CRP is of paramount significance, as it accentuates the imperative of addressing issues of equity and social justice in the educational sphere and underscores the exigency for comprehensive educational reform (Cole, David, & Jiménez, 2016; Haviland & Rodriguez-Kiino, 2009).

The theoretical foundations underpinning CRP represent yet another indispensable dimension. Multicultural education, sociocultural theory, and critical race theory, to name but a few, stand as the theoretical pillars upon which CRP is constructed (Kieran & Anderson, 2019; C. E. Sleeter, 2012). The intricate interplay of culture, racism, power dynamics, and identity within the classroom are elucidated by these theoretical frameworks, furnishing educators with a conceptual apparatus to enhance their comprehension of these intricate dynamics (Gay, 2013; Wiens, 2015). The theoretical underpinnings of CRP are pivotal in providing an overarching conceptual framework for creating classrooms that are genuinely inclusive and accommodating to the needs of all students (Callaway, 2016; Harrison & Skrebneva, 2020). A frequently overlooked yet indispensable facet of CRP is its practical application in the classroom (T. C. Howard, 2021; O'Leary et al., 2020). Adapting curricula and teaching methods to align with CRP's principles is imperative (Pirbhai-Illich, Pete, & Martin, 2017; D. R. Smith & Ayers, 2006). In order to manifest this, educators must ensure the cultivation of an educational environment that is both welcoming and secure for students from a diverse array of cultural backgrounds and life experiences (L. Bond, 1998; C. D. Lee, 1998).

"Culturally Responsive Teaching" (CRT) emerges as an instructional methodology devoted to this objective. CRT maintains that each student possesses a trove of invaluable cultural insights, which ought to be embraced and celebrated (T. Howard & Terry Sr, 2011; Ndemanu & Jordan, 2018). It eschews a one-size-fits-all approach, instead accounting for the distinct cultural and linguistic backgrounds of each student. CRT's ultimate goal is to engender a dynamic and nurturing classroom environment that accommodates the unique learning styles and backgrounds of all students, thereby mitigating disparities in academic achievement (C. D. Gist, 2017; Villegas, 1991). Exemplifying culturally responsive education is the integration of culturally relevant literature into the curriculum (Grant & Asimeng-Boahene, 2006; Han et al., 2014). This can be achieved by incorporating literary works penned by authors from the students' own cultural milieu or set in settings that resonate with their experiences (C. Gist, Jackson, Nightengale-Lee, & Allen, 2019; Sparks III, 1994).

Such an approach serves a dual purpose: validating the cultural backgrounds of students while also sustaining their active engagement in the learning process (Glynn, Cowie, Otrel-Cass, & Macfarlane, 2010; Lynch & Rata, 2018). Inclusivity with regard to students' cultural and linguistic backgrounds further epitomizes CRT. This entails affording students the opportunity to utilize their native languages in classroom discourse or incorporating words and phrases from diverse languages into class interactions and assignments (Genao, 2016; Ragoonaden & Mueller, 2017). For instance, if a teacher is aware that some or all of her students speak Spanish in their homes, she may encourage them to utilize their Spanish language skills in contexts such as small-group work and journaling.

## 2. Culturally Responsive Education: Fostering Inclusivity, Cultural Competency, and Student Engagement

The establishment of meaningful connections with students and their families constitutes an indispensable component of culturally responsive instruction (Aceves & Orosco, 2014; Taylor & Sobel, 2011). This entails the thorough understanding of students' cultural heritages and the incorporation of this knowledge into the pedagogical process (Garcia & Chun, 2016; Vavrus, 2008). For instance, when a teacher is cognizant of a substantial number of her students observing the Lunar New Year, she may purposefully design lessons and activities revolving around this significant event. Furthermore, the teacher might extend an invitation to students' families to share their cultural customs with the class, thereby fostering a sense of inclusivity. In the realm of culturally responsive education, evaluative mechanisms are another essential instrument (Hammond, 2014; Shevalier & McKenzie, 2012). Assessments should be constructed with meticulous consideration of students' cultural and linguistic backgrounds, ensuring that students are afforded the opportunity to demonstrate their knowledge and competencies in manners that resonate with their personal experiences and identities (Chu & Garcia, 2014; N. Lee, 2012).

An educator who is cognizant of the predilection of many of her students for visual learning may opt to supplement textual assignments and examinations with visual aids and hands-on activities, thereby accommodating diverse learning styles (Pewewardy & Hammer, 2003; Yuan & Jiang, 2019). The cultivation of a welcoming and secure educational environment for all students is integral to the ethos of cultural sensitivity within the classroom (Edwards & Edick Ph D, 2013; Iwai, 2019). It

necessitates the incorporation of students' unique experiences and viewpoints into class discussions and an open invitation for them to contribute (Knight, 2015; Stowe, 2017). In the context of a multiethnic classroom, teachers can facilitate the sharing of narratives about students' home countries or orchestrate group activities designed to promote cross-cultural understanding and celebration (Larke, 2013; McKoy & Lind, 2022). To establish an inclusive and supportive caters to the distinctive cultural origins and experiences of all students, educators should embrace a culturally responsive pedagogy (Ford, Stuart, & Vakil, 2014; Gay, 2002a).

Culturally responsive teaching (CRT) encompasses a spectrum of practices, including the integration of culturally pertinent literature, the incorporation of language that mirrors the cultural and linguistic diversity of students, the cultivation of meaningful relationships with students and their families, culturally sensitive assessment methods, and the maintenance of an environment that warmly embraces every student (V. L. Bond, 2014; E. P. Bonner & Adams, 2012). By employing CRT, teachers are better equipped to cater to the individual educational requirements of their students, providing a high-quality and personally meaningful educational experience that is reverent of each student's unique cultural background (Lewthwaite, Owen, Doiron, Renaud, & McMillan, 2014; Tanase, 2022). This culturally sensitive approach champions the recognition and celebration of the individuality of each student and their milieu, serving as a strategy to enhance student engagement by giving due consideration to their distinct cultural perspectives (Heitner & Jennings, 2016; Kozleski, 2010). Cultural competency, culturally responsive teaching strategies, a culturally responsive curriculum, and culturally sensitive classroom management collectively constitute the edifice of culturally responsive education (Averill et al., 2009; Gay, 2014).

In this exposition, we shall delve into the specifics of each of these components and illuminate their practical application in the educational sphere. Cultural competence necessitates an understanding, appreciation, and adept interaction with individuals hailing from diverse cultural backgrounds (Harmon, 2012; Muñiz, 2019). A culturally competent educator perceives culture as a complex, living, and evolving tapestry of shared beliefs, norms, and customs. Acknowledging the profound influence of personal values and experiences on their approach to the classroom, educators with cultural competence diligently work towards rendering their classrooms inclusive and hospitable to all students, irrespective of their backgrounds or cultural affiliations (Edwards & Kuhlman, 2007; Muñiz, 2020). Initiatives such as student self-introductions and discussions of their cultural identities via writing prompts, group dialogues, or collaborative projects serve as effective tools for achieving this objective (Ebersole, Kanahele-Mossman, & Kawakami, 2016; Gay, 2021). Additionally, educators may opt to integrate cultural diversity into the classroom through the incorporation of literature, music, and artifacts, thereby fostering a rich tapestry of cultural experiences (Bassey, 2016; Hutchison & McAlister-Shields, 2020).

## 3. Adaptive Assessment for Diverse Cultural Backgrounds

Culturally responsive teaching methods establish a nexus between students' individual cultural experiences and the curriculum, thereby bolstering student engagement and academic achievement (Irvine & Armento, 2001; Stairs, 2007). Culturally astute educators recognize the distinctive learning requisites of each student and customize their pedagogical approach accordingly (Darrow, 2013; Gay, 2018). Moreover, they make a concerted effort to incorporate students' cultural perspectives into their lessons (Gay, 2010; Nawan Phuntsog, 2001). An educator attuned to cultural sensitivity may orchestrate collaborative learning endeavours, stimulating discourse through cooperative activities to foster comprehension and empathy (Dickson, Chun, & Fernandez, 2016; Harding-DeKam, 2014). Teachers may find it advantageous to introduce literature and multimedia that feature characters from diverse ethnicities and backgrounds, facilitating relatability in ethnically diverse classrooms (Acuff, Hirak, & Nangah, 2012; Boon & Lewthwaite, 2015).

Employing storytelling techniques, instructors can forge connections with their students, inspiring them to share their own life experiences and cultural backgrounds (Whitaker & Valtierra, 2018; Wlodkowski & Ginsberg, 1995a). A culturally responsive curriculum is one that considers the myriad cultural identities among its student body and customizes its educational approach accordingly (Ginsberg & Wlodkowski, 2009; Portes, González Canché, Boada, & Whatley, 2018). Culturally discerning educators comprehend that students thrive when they can forge personal connections to the subject matter (Bennett, 2013; Bui & Fagan, 2013). Furthermore, they grasp the potential of curricula in cultivating cultural sensitivity and fostering intercultural understanding. For instance, a culturally sensitive educator might incorporate the experiences of immigrants from various corners of the globe into a unit on immigration, promoting empathy and broader understanding (Markey, O'Brien, Kouta, Okantey, & O'Donnell, 2021; Warren, 2018).

They could also engage in discussions concerning the challenges immigrants face while adapting to a new culture and incorporate literature that reflects the experiences of immigrant families (Rahmawati & Ridwan, 2017; Wearmouth, 2017). Encouraging external speakers or community members to share their insights further enriches the learning experience. Culturally sensitive classroom management methodologies promote positive behavior and engender an atmosphere where the diversity of each student is valued (Hudson, Bergin, & Chryst, 1993; Irizarry, 2007). Teachers cognizant of cultural distinctions understand that their management techniques can inadvertently render some students uncomfortable or marginalized. The core objective of culturally responsive pedagogy (CRP) is to cultivate a classroom ambiance that is inclusive and supportive, irrespective of students' cultural backgrounds. CRP seeks to elevate academic attainment by encouraging students to fortify their cultural identities, broaden their global perspectives, and esteem the contributions of others (Cruz, Manchanda, Firestone, & Rodl, 2020; Fitchett, Starker, & Salyers, 2012).

Educators can advance culturally sensitive pedagogy through several avenues, including the following: Firstly, the cultivation of cultural competence is pivotal. Educators who exhibit cultural competence are versed in their students' cultural contexts and employ this insight to tailor lessons to meet their specific needs (Larson, Pas, Bradshaw, Rosenberg, & Day-Vines, 2018; Samuels, Samuels, & Cook, 2017). The enhancement of cultural knowledge and sensitivity represents an ongoing endeavor necessitating introspection and professional growth. Teachers can augment their cultural competence by partaking in conferences, seminars, extensive reading, and engaging in online dialogues (Ginsberg, 2015; Siwatu, Chesnut,

Alejandro, & Young, 2016). Secondly, culture-sensitive instruction plays a pivotal role in affording all students an equitable learning opportunity (Mackay & Strickland, 2018; Samuels, 2018). This encompasses the incorporation of literature, films, and other media that are reflective of students' cultural origins, as well as the integration of practices and traditions in the pedagogical process (Fullam, 2017; Wlodkowski & Ginsberg, 1995b). For example, if a teacher's class predominantly comprises Hispanic students, they may opt to explore and celebrate works authored by Hispanic writers and observe cultural traditions like the Day of the Dead. Thirdly, the utilization of inclusive language is instrumental in creating a sense of belonging while honouring diversity. Employing gender-neutral terminology, eschewing preconceived notions, and communicating in a manner respectful of all cultures fosters inclusivity. Teachers can replace gender-specific phrases such as "boys and girls" with more neutral alternatives like "students" or "scholars." Moreover, the establishment of meaningful connections with students and their families is indispensable for cultivating a classroom that is attuned to their cultural backgrounds (O'Keeffe, Paige, & Osborne, 2019; Walter, 2018).

This entails fostering conversations regarding students' and families' cultural identities and experiences, and collaborating with families to enhance their children's education (Daniel, 2016; Evans, Turner, & Allen, 2020). Cultural diversity can be further embraced by providing opportunities for students to present their cultural customs and traditions, hosting parent-teacher conferences, and inviting families to partake in classroom activities (Davis, 2012; McKoy, MacLeod, Walter, & Nolker, 2017). Lastly, culturally sensitive assessments are predicated on their capacity to accommodate students hailing from diverse cultural backgrounds, offering them opportunities to exhibit their learning within contexts that are personally significant to them (Alhanachi, de Meijer, & Severiens, 2021; Chen & Yang, 2017). These assessments embrace various forms of expression, recognizing the manifold means through which students may convey their comprehension and competencies (Gordon & Espinoza, 2020; McCallops et al., 2019).

## 4. Creating a Sense of Community in Online Education

"Culturally responsive teaching," an educational approach, places a premium on crafting an inclusive and supportive classroom environment that embraces students of diverse backgrounds and life experiences (Civitillo, Juang, Badra, & Schachner, 2019; Donahue-Keegan, Villegas-Reimers, & Cressey, 2019). Given the proliferation of online education in recent years, it has become imperative to refine methodologies for culturally sensitive online instruction (Bottoms, Ciechanowski, Jones, de la Hoz, & Fonseca, 2017; Nawang Phuntsog, 1999). In this discourse, we shall delineate some of the paramount guidelines for culturally sensitive online pedagogy, elucidated with illustrative instances. Fostering a sense of community among online learners constitutes an imperative facet of culturally sensitive virtual education. The specter of isolation looms large in the online classroom, particularly for students who may lack technological acumen or hail from cultures where remote learning is not customary. As such, educators bear the onus of furnishing a secure and nurturing digital classroom milieu (Day & Beard, 2019; Marshall & DeCapua, 2013). They may commence this endeavour by encouraging students to introduce themselves and expound upon their origins (Lucey & White, 2017; Shealey, McHatton, & Wilson, 2011). Alternatively, the deployment of online discussion boards or virtual office hours offers avenues to forge more intimate connections with each student. Educators possessing cultural sensitivity apprehend that each student brings to the classroom a unique tapestry of experiences, worldviews, and learning modalities (Chepyator-Thomson, 1994; Fasching-Varner & Dodo Seriki, 2011). In the realm of online pedagogy, diversification of instructional approaches is imperative to resonate with the diverse student body (Coffey & Farinde-Wu, 2016; Shaw, 2016).

Utilizing a myriad of media, encompassing mediums such as films, podcasts, and infographics, represents a judicious strategy for imparting subject matter (Baskerville, 2009; Souto-Manning, 2009). Furthermore, the promotion of student interaction and collaboration can be facilitated through the curation of group projects and virtual symposia. Practitioners of cultural responsiveness not only recognize the intrinsic worth of welcoming diverse perspectives but also acknowledge the import of valorizing the narratives and accomplishments of underrepresented groups (Achinstein & Ogawa, 2012; Lew & Nelson, 2016). Online classrooms furnish educators with an array of tools to introduce students to a panoply of viewpoints. This may encompass the incorporation of readings and multimedia materials authored by individuals from varied backgrounds or the inclusion of guest speakers who proffer distinctive vantage points. In the context of a diverse student body encompassing varying cultural and linguistic backgrounds, the landscape of online communication can present unique challenges (Alfred, 2009; Hollins & Oliver, 1999).

It becomes pivotal for educators to exhibit sensitivity to disparities in language and communication styles, ensuring that all students feel included and comprehended (Barnes & McCallops, 2019; Zorba, 2020). This may entail refraining from the use of specialized terminologies or jargon and providing an avenue for students to seek clarification and obtain supplementary information when befuddled (Gruenewald, 2014; T. O. Jackson, 2015). Reflection and critical analysis occupy a central role in a culturally sensitive digital classroom. Educators can foster students' capacity for introspection and analysis by affording them opportunities to reflect upon their own experiences and perspectives within the online realm. Assignments such as research papers that double as personal reflections or the establishment of online forums dedicated to discussions of contentious issues while maintaining decorous discourse are strategies to nurture these cognitive faculties (Acquah & Szelei, 2020; J. M. Smith, 2020).

Due to the potential for heightened isolation among online students, the provision of timely and insightful feedback assumes heightened importance in this medium. Educators should strive to deliver constructive feedback punctually, spotlighting both the students' strengths and areas for improvement (Moore, Giles, & Vitulli, 2021; Young & Sternod, 2011). Virtual office hours and one-on-one sessions may also be employed to provide students with personalized feedback. Lastly, educators well-versed in cultural responsiveness comprehend the imperative of adaptability and flexibility in order to cater to the needs of every student within virtual classrooms. Online instructors must exhibit the flexibility required to accommodate the diverse needs of their multifaceted student populace.

## 5. Synergy between Culturally Responsive Pedagogy (CRP) and Performance-Based Assessment (PBA)

The term "culturally responsive pedagogy" (CRP) denotes an educational approach that conscientiously acknowledges and celebrates the multifaceted cultural identities pervasive among today's student population (Gay, 2002b; Leonard et al., 2018). Its overarching objective is to establish an educational environment that is both welcoming and secure, transcending distinctions based on color, ethnicity, language, or socioeconomic status (Siwatu, 2007, 2011). Research has evidenced an array of benefits resulting from the implementation of CRP in classrooms, spanning improved student achievement, heightened engagement, and augmented teacher contentment. Fundamental to CRP is the incorporation of culturally pertinent instructional materials and resources (B. Frye, Button, Kelly, & Button, 2010; Kea & Trent, 2013). For instance, within the domain of social studies, a pedagogue might wield primary source materials representing diverse perspectives in teaching about a historical event.

This not only exposes students to a broader spectrum of ideas but also galvanizes their critical thinking faculties when presented with a plurality of viewpoints. The cultivation of pedagogical methodologies infused with cultural sensitivity constitutes another pivotal tenet of CRP (T. S. Lee & Quijada Cerecer, 2010; McKinley, 2010). This involves an adaptation of curriculum to accommodate the diverse learning styles inherent in a heterogeneous student body. Teachers may, for instance, tailor their instructional approaches to cater to the specific needs of English as a Second Language (ESL) students or incorporate cultural practices into their lessons, thereby tailoring the learning experience to the individual requirements of each student. The nurturing of trustful connections between educators and their students represents yet another focal point of CRP (DeCapua, 2016; Özüdogru, 2018). This necessitates the individualized treatment of each student, an understanding of their distinctive background, and a recognition of their unique circumstances (Gunn et al., 2021; Irizarry & Antrop-González, 2007). When teachers invest the time to forge positive relationships based on mutual trust and respect, it redounds to the benefit of their students' motivation and learning outcomes.

Culturally responsive teaching (CRT) shares a kinship with CRP, for its central objective is the creation of classrooms that accord value to, and harness the potential of, students' diverse linguistic, cultural, and socioeconomic backgrounds (Jabbar & Hardaker, 2013; F. R. Jackson, 1993). Within the ambit of special education, CRT extends its purview to encompass the adaptation of pedagogical practices, curriculum content, and assessments to align with the specific cultural milieu of individual students (F. R. Jackson, 1993; Subero, Vila, & Esteban-Guitart, 2015). This paper endeavors to elucidate the concept of "culturally responsive teaching" in the context of special education, buttressing its exposition with concrete exemplars of effective implementation. Central to CRT in special education is the acknowledgment that children with disabilities emanate from an assortment of cultural backgrounds, influenced by various factors such as racial and ethnic composition, religious beliefs, linguistic proficiencies, and socioeconomic standing (Cavendish, 2011; Tisdell, 2006).

These backgrounds can have multifaceted implications for students with disabilities, who may encounter distinct obstacles arising from their cultural milieu. A student with a disability hailing from a low-income family, for example, may confront supplementary impediments to their education, spanning limited financial resources, suboptimal nutrition, or precarious living conditions. To engender a culturally sensitive classroom in special education, instructors must cultivate robust connections with their students and their families. A fundamental aspect of this endeavor is the acquisition of a profound understanding and appreciation of each student's unique cultural heritage.

Educators may enrich the educational milieu by acquainting themselves with students' cultural observances, traditions, and festivities, thereby fostering an environment where students feel at ease posing questions and participating in classroom discussions (Bishop, Berryman, Cavanagh, & Teddy, 2007; Martins-Shannon & White, 2012). Additionally, the implementation of culturally appropriate pedagogical strategies assumes paramount importance in the context of CRT in special education. Educators may harness students' cultural knowledge and experiences to render lessons more engaging and pertinent. This might manifest through the utilization of books, films, and imagery reflecting the cultural diversity inherent in their student body. Moreover, contemporary education is increasingly emphasizing the synergy between culturally responsive pedagogy (CRP) and performance-based assessment (PBA). These two constructs are intrinsically interconnected, with CRP serving as a guiding paradigm for the development and execution of potent PBA methodologies. In this exposition, the terms CRP and PBA will be precisely defined, their relevance explicated within the classroom context, and practical strategies delineated for their application.

## 6. Teacher Competency and Dispositions in Culturally Responsive Education

Culturally responsive education encompasses the pedagogical endeavour wherein educators take cognizance of their students' diverse backgrounds and cultural traditions. This practice necessitates not only an understanding but also an assimilation of students' manifold cultural heritages into the educational framework (Mette, Nieuwenhuizen, & Hvidston, 2016; Rychly & Graves, 2012). The principles underpinning Culturally Responsive Pedagogy (CRP) encompass the cultivation of trusting bonds between educators and students, the fostering of an inclusive and receptive classroom ambiance, and the integration of curricular components that resonate with students' cultural backgrounds (Chuang, Shih, & Cheng, 2020; Kesler, 2011). CRP involves a pedagogical approach wherein instructors tailor their teaching to align with students' cultural foundations. This may entail the use of literature rooted in students' cultural backgrounds, the acknowledgment of students' cultural observances and traditions, and the adaptation of various instructional methods (Abacioglu, Volman, & Fischer, 2020; Epstein, Mayorga, & Nelson, 2011).

Such initiatives aim to kindle a heightened interest and comprehension of the subject matter among students. In contrast, Performance-Based Assessment (PBA) is a mechanism for evaluating students' learning by assessing their practical application of acquired knowledge and skills. This may manifest in the form of projects, portfolios, or oral presentations, which serve as exemplars of the diverse formats amenable to PBA. PBA emphasizes the assessment of students' critical thinking, problem-solving, and communication proficiencies, a

quality highly regarded in the educational milieu. Educators who employ PBA might engage their students in real-world problem-solving projects, thus evaluating the students' research acumen, analytical skills, and presentation capabilities. The design and implementation of effective PBA procedures can be enriched through the conceptual scaffold provided by CRP. The utilization of CRP is conducive to the development of lessons that are more pertinent to students' cultural identities, thus rendering the educational experience more engaging (Sherwood, VanDeusen, Weller, & Gladden, 2021; C. E. Sleeter & Cornbleth, 2011). Moreover, the notion of "culturally responsive teaching" is synonymous with an educational approach that respects and acknowledges the individual values, traditions, and experiences of each student. This paradigm is predicated on the understanding that every student possesses a unique identity and specific prerequisites for academic success.

The application of culturally responsive education assumes particular significance in the domains of science and mathematics, where the aim is to furnish a nurturing and intellectually stimulating classroom environment for all students (Hayes & Juárez, 2011; Rigney & Hattam, 2018). The genesis of a paradigm for culturally sensitive instruction in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) subjects and illustrative case studies will be expounded upon herein. The four pivotal cornerstones of this paradigm for culturally responsive science and mathematics education encompass teacher cultural competency, setting high standards, the incorporation of curricula and instructional methodologies that accommodate students' cultural backgrounds, and the cultivation of a safe and embracing classroom milieu (Chou, Su, & Wang, 2018; Morrison, Rigney, Hattam, & Diplock, 2019). Each of these facets is integral in shaping an educational strategy that is both efficacious and attuned to the multifaceted requirements of a diverse student populace. Cultural competency involves an understanding, appreciation, and reverence for students' cultural diversity (Brown, 2004; Conrad, Gong, Sipp, & Wright, 2004). It serves as the bedrock of culturally relevant education.

A culturally competent educator is one who is sensitive to the manifold cultural backgrounds represented in the student body and leverages this awareness to foster an inclusive classroom environment. For instance, a science instructor who recognizes that certain cultures hold specific superstitions or taboos related to particular animals or plants might tailor their lesson plans to respect these norms. Furthermore, the setting of high standards for all students constitutes a fundamental tenet of culturally responsive education. This presupposes that all students, irrespective of their cultural origins, harbor the potential for scholarly achievement (Gere, Buehler, Dallavis, & Haviland, 2009; Rhodes, 2017). Instructors must challenge their students to reach their full potential while simultaneously equipping them with the resources requisite for success. For instance, a mathematics instructor might assign highly challenging problems that draw upon a variety of cultural traditions. Thirdly, the implementation of culturally relevant curricula and instructional methods assumes a pivotal role within the paradigm of culturally responsive teaching (P. J. Bonner, Warren, & Jiang, 2018; Ware, 2006).

To render the learning experience meaningful and engrossing for all students, educators must draw upon a multiplicity of cultural perspectives, exemplars, and contexts. A science educator, for instance, may employ cultural illustrations to elucidate concepts such as biodiversity and climate change. Lastly, an inclusive and welcoming learning environment for all students rests upon three interrelated principles: teacher empathy, teacher dispositions, and teacher training in culturally responsive pedagogy (Chou et al., 2018; Gay, 2015). Teacher empathy entails the ability to comprehend and resonate with the experiences of others, facilitating the provision of an educational milieu in which every student can learn and grow while being treated with dignity and respect. Educators attuned to their students are not only attentive to their needs but also validate their experiences and offer support when necessary. A disposition encompasses the attitudes, beliefs, and values that inform educators' behaviours and relationships with their students. The creation of a culturally responsive classroom hinges upon the cultivation of positive dispositions, including openness, respect, and a zeal for learning (Conrad et al., 2004; Hayes & Juárez, 2011). Educators exhibiting the right dispositions are more inclined to respect their students' ethnic identities and accommodate their students' diverse life experiences. An open-minded instructor may encourage students to share their cultural customs and beliefs with the class, while a respectful teacher may seek out resources and materials that reflect the diversity of their students (F. R. Jackson, 1993; Morrison et al., 2019).

## 7. Culturally Responsive Teaching, Brain Function, and Multimodal Instruction

The concept of "culturally responsive pedagogy" (CRP) signifies an educational approach that takes into consideration and celebrates the diverse cultural identities and experiences of its students. Within this framework, the acquisition of emotional intelligence, the cultivation and maintenance of meaningful interpersonal relationships, and the pursuit of personal objectives constitute essential components of social and emotional learning (SEL). Several foundational assumptions guide teachers and students in their utilization of SEL instruction within the CRP framework, and these beliefs can significantly impact the instructional approach of teachers and, consequently, the achievement of students. This paper endeavours to elucidate how the application of culturally responsive pedagogy can enhance the teaching of social and emotional learning. First and foremost, CRP is deemed effective in the classroom by virtue of its perceived relevance to students' experiences and interests (Jabbar & Hardaker, 2013; McKinley, 2010; Özüdogru, 2018).

In essence, students perceive SEL lessons as directly applicable to their own lives and cultural backgrounds. To illustrate concepts such as emotional regulation and relationship development, a teacher employing a CRP approach to SEL may share or read stories from a student's own cultural heritage. This practice renders SEL more approachable and captivating to students, as it acknowledges and appreciates their diverse cultural backgrounds and experiences. Secondly, CRP is acknowledged as an inclusive approach to teaching social and emotional learning. This entails that the methodology endeavors to cater to students from diverse backgrounds, encompassing variations in race, ethnicity, language, and culture (Irizarry & Antrop-González, 2007; Moore et al., 2021; Zorba, 2020). In a bid to ensure that all their students' voices are heard, a teacher embracing the CRP approach to SEL might, for instance,

incorporate multiple languages or cultural perspectives within their lessons.

This concerted effort fosters a sense of community within the classroom where everyone feels valued and embraced, regardless of their individual distinctions. The third salient feature attributed to CRP's approach to SEL education is the perception of sincerity. In other words, it is regarded as an earnest and genuine effort rather than a mere token gesture towards cultural sensitivity (Achinstein & Ogawa, 2012; Acquah & Szelei, 2020; Coffey & Farinde-Wu, 2016). Educators utilizing CRP strategies make sincere endeavors to ensure that their courses are culturally pertinent and significant. These efforts may encompass the incorporation of community-based practices or traditions, as well as actively seeking input and feedback from students and their families.

In doing so, they convey to their students and their families a profound appreciation for cultural diversity and a genuine willingness to engage in continuous learning. Culturally responsive teaching (CRT) constitutes an educational approach that takes into account and responds to the rich tapestry of cultural backgrounds represented by its students (Civitillo et al., 2019; Marshall & DeCapua, 2013). The recognition that students' cultural and linguistic origins impact their cognitive processing and interaction with educational material is central to CRT. A deep understanding of how the brain processes information is instrumental in the deployment of culturally sensitive pedagogy. Teachers can engender a more hospitable and productive classroom environment for all students by drawing upon insights from neuroscience research. The use of multimodal instruction is one avenue through which CRT and the principles of brain function converge (Chen & Yang, 2017; Davis, 2012; Gordon & Espinoza, 2020).

This method entails the utilization of diverse modalities, such as visual, auditory, and kinesthetic, to convey the same content. For students whose learning preferences lean towards visual engagement, teachers might integrate visual aids such as diagrams, films, and photographs into the classroom. Simultaneously, kinesthetic exercises can be incorporated to cater to students who thrive through hands-on activities. Another dimension aligning CRT with the brain pertains to the facilitation of connections between newly acquired information and students' existing knowledge and experiences. Employing the schema-building technique assists students in retaining information and establishing links between different ideas. Encouraging students to draw connections and expand their comprehension of a subject by sharing relevant personal experiences or cultural traditions can significantly contribute to their learning (Evans et al., 2020; Fullam, 2017; Mackay & Strickland, 2018).

The role of cultivating a nurturing classroom environment for students of all backgrounds represents yet another critical convergence between CRT and brain function. Chronic stress has been demonstrated to exert detrimental effects on brain development and can profoundly impact cognitive functions, including learning and memory. Therefore, a less stressful and more focused classroom atmosphere is instrumental in enhancing students' ability to absorb and process new information while concurrently fostering a positive emotional state conducive to learning (Ginsberg, 2015; Samuels et al., 2017; Wlodkowski & Ginsberg, 1995b).

## 8. Restorative Justice: Fostering Inclusive and Secure School Environments

Utilizing restorative justice methods represents an avenue to foster a more inclusive and secure educational environment within schools. Rather than punitive measures, restorative justice is centered on rectifying the harm inflicted and restoring fractured relationships when students err. By employing these restorative practices, educators can cultivate a more hospitable and secure classroom setting that addresses conflicts in a constructive manner (Cruz et al., 2020; Hudson et al., 1993; Larson et al., 2018). Teaching imbued with cultural sensitivity is another pivotal dimension that takes into account how students' individual, societal, and historical experiences mold their cognition and growth. Instructive strategies that are more comprehensive and attuned to the diverse needs of students can be enriched, for example, by discerning the influence of ethnicity, gender, and other social identities on students' life experiences (Markey et al., 2021; Portes et al., 2018; Wearmouth, 2017).

Scrutinizing the implicit biases and assumptions entwined within teaching materials and methodologies plays a crucial role in achieving a more equitable classroom environment. Central to culturally responsive teaching (CRT) is the acknowledgment and appreciation of the extensive array of cultural backgrounds and life journeys that students bring to the educational milieu (Boon & Lewthwaite, 2015; Ginsberg & Wlodkowski, 2009; Whitaker & Valtierra, 2018). CRT underscores an ethics- and care-centered approach in urban schools, emphasizing accessibility, engagement, and enrichment for all students, particularly those from underserved backgrounds. This discourse will delve into the essence of CRT, its mechanics, and its application within an urban educational context. CRT is rooted in the concept that students' experiences, convictions, and cognitive approaches are molded by their cultural and linguistic heritage (Darrow, 2013; Dickson et al., 2016; Gay, 2010). Thus, the initial step in embracing CRT involves fostering trust-based, respectful, and empathetic relationships with students, their families, and their communities. To realize this, educators must establish a safe space within the classroom, encouraging students to openly share their personal experiences and perspectives on a wide spectrum of subjects (Hutchison & McAlister-Shields, 2020; Nawan Phuntsog, 2001; Stairs, 2007).

For instance, a teacher may inaugurate a module on American history by prompting students to write about their family's immigration experiences, emphasizing their place within the broader narrative. In so doing, the instructor not only validates the personal narratives of students but also facilitates the establishment of connections between educational content and their cultural heritage. Employing resources and methodologies attuned to the target culture represents a crucial facet of culturally responsive teaching (Darrow, 2013; Gay, 2021; Hutchison & McAlister-Shields, 2020). This entails the incorporation of texts, visuals, and supplementary materials that are culturally and linguistically pertinent to students, stimulating them to establish links between the educational content and their personal lives and interests. This endeavour can be executed through a variety of channels, encompassing literature, music, and art that embodies the cultural traditions of the student body, such as those of Black Americans and Latinxs. Within the classroom, culturally sensitive practices like cooperative learning, problem-based learning, and inquiry-based learning can be employed. These strategies are rooted in the understanding that students hailing from diverse backgrounds may

manifest distinct preferences and learning modalities (Ebersole et al., 2016; Harmon, 2012; Muñiz, 2020). Moreover, such methods enhance students' comprehension of a topic by affording it a personal context. CRT also inculcates the capacity to critically scrutinize and challenge the social, cultural, and historical influences that shape our world. Consequently, instruction in the identification and evaluation of one's own and others' preconceptions, biases, and stereotyping is pivotal (Gay, 2014; Kozleski, 2010; Lewthwaite et al., 2014).

Educating for social justice, a tenet of CRT, entails equipping students with the knowledge, skills, and attitudes requisite for instigating constructive change within their communities (V. L. Bond, 2014; Gay, 2002a; Tanase, 2022). For instance, a teacher may task students with conducting a critical analysis of media representations of particular groups, such as immigrants or people of colour This engagement may engender a discussion on the role of media in perpetuating prejudices and stereotypes, ultimately motivating students to create media that directly confronts these issues. Finally, CRT underscores the value of community and familial involvement within the classroom. Establishing relationships with local organizations and families predicated on mutual respect and a shared commitment to students' success is a pivotal facet of this approach (Iwai, 2019; Knight, 2015; McKoy & Lind, 2022). Furthermore, incorporating the wisdom and experience of families and communities into classroom practices and decision-making processes is another salient dimension of this holistic approach.

## 9. Service-Learning: Engaging Students with Community Partnerships

A service-learning endeavor, designed in conjunction with an extant community organization, may be devised by an educator. Pupils can partake in this by conducting research on the region's history and culture, subsequently collaborating with local inhabitants to identify a pressing issue and proffer a feasible remedy. Such an undertaking not only encourages students to engage in critical and innovative thinking but also cultivates a sense of belonging and active participation within the broader community. Culturally Relevant Pedagogy (CRP), an educational methodology championed by Dr. Gloria Ladson-Billings, is deeply rooted in ethics and compassion. It places a premium on educators gaining insights into and respecting their students' distinct cultural backgrounds and linguistic diversity. The overarching objective of CRP is to transform the classroom into an inclusive and intellectually stimulating environment for students from diverse backgrounds and life experiences to engage in the process of learning (Chu & Garcia, 2014; Edwards & Edick Ph D, 2013; Pewewardy & Hammer, 2003).

This discourse will delve into the underpinnings of CRP and elucidate its classroom applications. CRP operates on the premise that education should be attuned to students' cultural roots and experiences, given that these factors profoundly influence their learning processes. The chief aspiration of CRP is to establish a learning milieu wherein all students feel secure in sharing their cultural identities and experiences (Aceves & Orosco, 2014; Garcia & Chun, 2016; Shevalier & McKenzie, 2012). Additionally, they should have ample opportunities to forge substantive connections between their academic pursuits and their everyday lives and communities. Integral to CRP are the selection of teaching materials and methodologies that resonate with students' cultural backgrounds. This entails the incorporation of texts, visuals, and supplementary materials that are culturally inclusive, incorporating the rich tapestry of students' cultural and linguistic heritage. Educators can employ a myriad of strategies, including the infusion of literature, music, and art that mirrors the cultural traditions of their students, such as those originating from the African American and Latinx communities. Culturally Responsive Teaching (CRT), as formulated by Geneva Gay, is a methodology designed to acknowledge the vast array of cultural backgrounds students bring into the classroom.

It is fundamentally driven by the objective of fostering academic achievement among students, concurrently engendering a deep-seated respect for and appreciation of their diverse cultural lineages (Lynch & Rata, 2018; Ragoonaden & Mueller, 2017; Taylor & Sobel, 2011). CRT assigns paramount importance to concerns of fairness and inclusivity within the classroom, guided by the belief that education serves as a conduit for social justice. At the core of CRT is the belief that learning is inherently influenced by cultural factors, implying that students' worldviews and learning styles are shaped by their individual experiences and cultural heritage. Thus, teachers seeking to engender a culturally responsive educational environment must first invest time in gaining insight into their students' cultural origins (Han et al., 2014; Sparks III, 1994; Villegas, 1991). Encouraging the exploration of multicultural literature is a practice through which educators can create an inclusive classroom climate, stimulating active student engagement by focusing on topics of personal interest.

Furthermore, CRT underscores the significance of establishing meaningful connections between educators and their students. In classrooms with a diverse array of students, this approach contributes substantially to cultivating an atmosphere characterized by mutual respect and trust. Teachers frequently undertake efforts to familiarize themselves with their students' cultural backgrounds, serving as a testament to the value they ascribe to each student as an individual possessing a unique perspective and life experience (C. D. Gist, 2017; T. Howard & Terry Sr, 2011; C. D. Lee, 1998). In this environment, all voices are heard, fostering an inclusive learning space where the contributions of every student are acknowledged.

## 10. Significance of Cultural Capital in Multicultural Education

The implementation of pedagogical strategies that are culturally pertinent constitutes an indispensable facet of Culturally Responsive Teaching (CRT). The instructional methodologies employed should mirror the cultural diversity present within the classroom, ensuring their cultural relevance and resonance with the students (Harrison & Skrebneva, 2020; T. C. Howard, 2021; D. R. Smith & Ayers, 2006). Rather than relying solely on conventional lecture-style teaching, modern educators are increasingly adopting alternative pedagogical strategies that encompass music, art, and storytelling. Such approaches foster a deeper connection between students and the subject matter, affirming the teacher's genuine concern for the students as individuals beyond the confines of the classroom. Lisa Delpit, a prominent educational theorist and author hailing from the United States, has dedicated her works to exploring the intricate interplay between education and culture.

Notably, her book "Education in a Multicultural Society" has become a seminal work in the field of multicultural education, proffering valuable guidance to teachers striving to create inclusive classroom environments conducive to the learning of children from diverse backgrounds. Delpit's writings underscore the significance of the concept of "cultural capital." Cultural capital encompasses the wealth of knowledge, skills, and experiences accumulated by individuals, which is often rooted in their language, culture, religion, and values (Callaway, 2016; Gay, 2013; C. E. Sleeter, 2012). Delpit contends that cultural capital can function both as a valuable educational asset and a potential impediment for students whose cultural backgrounds are not sufficiently recognized or respected within the classroom. For example, a student raised in a non-English speaking environment may possess substantial knowledge of that language and its associated culture. However, the failure of the educational environment to acknowledge and leverage this student's cultural capital may impede their prospects for academic success (Atwater et al., 2010; Cole et al., 2016; Woodley et al., 2017).

Such students may also face consequences for their inability to assimilate into the dominant culture. Teachers play a crucial role in mitigating this issue by placing a premium on each student's unique cultural capital. This can be accomplished through culturally relevant teaching practices that harness students' prior knowledge and experiences or through the direct integration of elements from their respective cultures into the curriculum (Herrera, 2022; Herrera et al., 2012; Rockich-Winston & Wyatt, 2019). Multicultural education, as advanced by James A. Banks, is a pedagogical approach designed to augment students' exposure to and appreciation of diverse cultures. It venerates the significance of cultural diversity and aspires to cultivate a classroom environment that is hospitable, supportive, and adaptable for students from diverse backgrounds. This discourse will delve into James A. Banks' conception of multicultural education, elucidating its fundamental principles and fortifying them with contemporary real-world examples. At the core of Banks' concept of multicultural education lies the foundational tenet that all students merit an equitable opportunity to acquire knowledge and flourish through their educational experiences.

His approach hinges on several pivotal components. The first, known as "content integration," stipulates the inclusion of individuals from varying backgrounds in classroom discussions and activities. For instance, literature and history classes may scrutinize texts authored from diverse perspectives. The "Knowledge Creation Process" underscores the idea that students ought to be taught to comprehend and revere the array of opinions existing within their classroom, recognizing that knowledge is forged through social interaction. Third, the approach prioritizes the reduction of biases and the elevation of tolerance among students, achieved by exposing them to individuals from divergent cultural backgrounds and educating them about the perils of bias (Dallavis, 2011; Martin et al., 2017; C. E. Sleeter, 2011). The fourth component, "equity pedagogy," emphasizes the importance of creating a welcoming and inclusive classroom environment for all students. To achieve this, various techniques such as differentiated instruction and group collaboration are incorporated.

Finally, "Strengthening School Culture and Social Structure" accentuates the development of a nurturing and accepting atmosphere for students of all backgrounds, underpinned by elevating students' voices, engaging parents and communities, and addressing issues of social justice within the school community (Maasum et al., 2014; Schmidt & Ma, 2006; C. Sleeter, 2010). In practical terms, a social studies curriculum delving into the topic of immigration offers a concrete instance of content integration within the classroom, as it should extend beyond the sole exploration of American immigration to encompass the perspectives of Asian, African, and Latin American immigrants. Furthermore, in language arts classes, it is a common practice to engage students in reading and deliberating works of literature stemming from a myriad of cultures, thus embracing the knowledge-building process. Moreover, students may be encouraged to reflect upon how their own cultural perspectives shape their interpretation of these literary works. To combat prejudice, a school might organize cultural fairs and similar events that spotlight the unique cultural heritages of its student body, fostering discussion and mutual learning about one another's cultural practices (P. J. Bonner et al., 2018; Gay, 2015; Rhodes, 2017). Finally, equity pedagogy might involve employing a diverse range of instructional methods, including visual aids, collaborative group work, and the integration of technology to cater to the varied learning styles of students.

## 11. Pedagogy of the Oppressed and Problem-Posing Education

Paulo Freire's seminal work, "Pedagogy of the Oppressed," provides a profound exploration of the dynamic between the oppressor and the oppressed, as well as the pivotal role of education within this context. Its release in 1968 marked the inception of a lasting influence in the domains of education and social justice. According to Freire, the prevailing educational paradigm perpetuates the power imbalance between the oppressed and the oppressor. In the conventional classroom, the instructor assumes the role of the oppressor, and the students are relegated to the position of the oppressed. In this traditional model, students are expected to passively receive knowledge bestowed by the teacher, reinforcing the idea that knowledge is a gift, rather than a product of active engagement and effort. Freire introduces an innovative teaching methodology termed "problem-posing education," in which the classroom transforms into a dialogic exchange between the educator and the students.

The educator transitions from being a knowledge-bestower to a facilitator who encourages students to engage in independent inquiry and to share their discoveries. This approach endows students with greater agency in their learning, compelling them to question entrenched societal hierarchies. Paulo Freire's community organizing program in Brazil exemplifies the implementation of "problem-posing education." The program organized seminars where local community members gathered to discuss issues affecting their daily lives, such as healthcare, education, and housing. These sessions were designed to foster active participation, enabling community members to contribute their knowledge and expertise. The objective was to equip individuals with the skills and insights required to address the challenges they had identified.

Another institution embodying the principles of "problem-posing education" is the Highlander Research and Education Center in Tennessee, founded in 1932 as a school for labor organizers and civil rights advocates. During the 1950s and

1960s, the center hosted seminars that attracted civil rights activists from across the nation. These seminars emphasized active participation and the exchange of participants' diverse knowledge and experiences, nurturing a community where members could pool their collective wisdom to effect positive social change. Freire's ideas have also left an indelible mark on the philosophy of critical pedagogy, which emphasizes the critical examination of power structures and societal norms. Educators adopting critical pedagogy aim to equip their students with the tools to become agents of social change through a process of critical inquiry (Chou et al., 2018; Conrad et al., 2004; Rigney & Hattam, 2018). Sonia Nieto, a distinguished scholar, has made extensive contributions to the discourse surrounding intercultural education in today's diverse society. Nieto contends that a multicultural education framework is imperative for effective classroom instruction and student development in contemporary heterogeneous communities.

This discussion delves into the principles that underpin Sonia Nieto's advocacy for intercultural education and offers tangible case studies. Multicultural education, guided by the acknowledgment of students' cultural diversity, seeks to augment their understanding of and respect for a wide array of cultures. Its objective is to address the historical and contemporary challenges pertaining to race, ethnicity, culture, language, religion, gender, and other social identities in order to promote social justice, equity, and inclusivity. Sonia Nieto identifies four primary components of intercultural education that educators should consider when designing their lessons. Firstly, "Content Integration" necessitates the incorporation of a myriad of cultural perspectives, experiences, and contributions within the curriculum. Literature, history, art, and music from diverse civilizations enable students to cultivate a more profound and nuanced understanding of other cultures (Abacioglu et al., 2020; Morrison et al., 2019; Sherwood et al., 2021). The second pillar, "Knowledge Creation," entails challenging and deconstructing any Eurocentric or Western biases present in the curriculum, urging students to transcend the confines of received knowledge and develop their own informed perspectives.

The third component, "Equity Pedagogy," focuses on accommodating students from diverse backgrounds by adapting lessons to their specific needs, utilizing an assortment of methods, materials, and assessments that honor and celebrate their cultural identities (Bishop et al., 2007; Kesler, 2011; Mette et al., 2016). The fourth tenet is "Prejudice Reduction," involving efforts to transform attitudes and actions, fostering greater acceptance of individuals from varied backgrounds. Cultural Modeling, conceived by anthropologist Enid Lee, investigates how individuals' backgrounds mold their thoughts, emotions, and actions. Lee defines cultural modeling as the process through which individuals internalize and integrate the norms, values, and traditions of their culture. This discourse delves into cultural modeling and offers practical examples to underscore its significance. The process of cultural modeling is intricate and multifaceted, entailing numerous variables. "Socialization," or the process through which individuals absorb the rules and values of their culture, constitutes an integral facet of cultural modelling (Martins-Shannon & White, 2012; Rychly & Graves, 2012; Tisdell, 2006). Family, friends, peers, and the media, as well as both formal and informal educational settings, contribute to an individual's social development. A child raised in a collectivistic culture, such as Japan, may be instilled with the notion that prioritizing the collective's needs supersedes individual pursuits, a value reinforced through family rituals, school lessons, and peer interactions. "Cultural reproduction" describes the transmission of traditions and customs from one generation to the next, encompassing both formal education and everyday interactions within a culture. A child growing up in a Native American culture, for instance, may learn about traditional customs and beliefs through interactions with elders and other community members, as well as participation in cultural events and rituals. The more a child is immersed in a culture, the more likely they are to internalize its behaviors and beliefs as their own.

## 12. Understanding the Neurological Mechanisms of Learning

For educators seeking to cultivate an inclusive classroom environment, "Culturally Responsive Teaching and the Brain" authored by Zaretta Hammond serves as a valuable and insightful resource. Hammond's pedagogical approach stands as a welcomed addition to the teaching profession, underpinned by the fundamental premise that students of diverse backgrounds can have their academic and socio-emotional needs effectively met through the implementation of culturally sensitive teaching methodologies. Hammond's research is concentrated in three primary domains: understanding the neurological mechanisms of learning, recognizing the influence of culture on the learning process, and the practical application of culturally responsive practices within the classroom setting. This discourse will delve deeper into each of these dimensions, providing exemplifications of how teachers have effectively applied Hammond's methodology within their educational contexts.

According to Hammond's research, efficacious education necessitates an understanding of how the human brain learns. She contends that genuine learning transpires when new information is intertwined with one's preexisting reservoir of knowledge and life experiences. Teachers, therefore, shoulder the responsibility of facilitating students' capacity to forge these connections by crafting curricula and activities that hold high personal relevance and significance. Additionally, Christine Sleeter's Critical Multicultural Education (CME) framework takes the mantle in promoting cultural awareness and comprehension among students. CME seeks to empower students with the knowledge and competencies requisite for appraising and challenging patterns of injustice and oppression while concurrently fostering an appreciation for the rich tapestry of cultures and perspectives that comprise our societal fabric. This discussion will expound upon the potential advantages of integrating CME into the classroom, accompanied by concrete instances of its application.

Central to the tenets of CME is the paramount importance accorded to the appreciation of the wide array of experiences and perspectives held by students. Educators embracing this paradigm make it their foremost duty to gain insights into their students' cultural backgrounds and customs, thereby establishing a learning milieu that warmly embraces the diversity of its pupils. An effective initiation for educators is to incorporate culturally relevant resources into their curricula, commencing the school year with activities that encourage students to share narratives or artifacts from their respective cultures. Through

this approach, instructors convey their genuine concern for the individuality and multifaceted backgrounds of their students. Furthermore, critical pedagogy, a crucial component of CME, prompts students to question and critically evaluate the societal, political, and economic influences that shape their lives (Irizarry & Antrop-González, 2007; F. R. Jackson, 1993; Özüdogru, 2018; Subero et al., 2015). This pedagogical strategy encourages students to scrutinize their own worldviews and the information they encounter through media, peer interactions, and various sources.

In the classroom, teachers can facilitate critical thinking by employing news articles and video clips, inspiring students to delve into the pervasive impacts of institutional racism and sexism on their own lives and communities. Engaged in such endeavors, students gain a more profound comprehension of power dynamics and privilege in our society, empowering them to advocate for equality and equitable treatment for all (DeCapua, 2016; B. Frye et al., 2010; McKinley, 2010; Siwatu, 2007). Lastly, CME champions culturally sensitive teaching approaches designed to propel students from diverse backgrounds towards academic success. Cooperative learning groups and peer mentorship emerge as strategies that educators can wield to provide support to students who are English language learners, possess distinct learning needs, hail from economically disadvantaged backgrounds, or have experienced homelessness. By adapting their instructional approaches to students' diverse backgrounds and individual interests, educators can make strides in narrowing the achievement gap and fostering a classroom environment that is inherently inclusive.

## 13. Harmonizing Restorative Justice, Cultural Responsiveness, and Effective Classroom Management

The importance of continuous professional development for educators is underscored, advocating for instructors to consistently appraise their teaching methodologies, engage in further research, and broaden their knowledge to better address the diverse needs of their students (Gruenewald, 2014; Leonard et al., 2018; Moore et al., 2021; J. M. Smith, 2020). "The Skin That We Speak: Reflections on Language and Culture in the Classroom," a compilation of articles edited by Lisa D. Delpit and Joanne Kilgour Dowdy, delves into the intricate interplay between language and culture within the educational sphere. The anthology postulates that teachers should not only recognize but also draw wisdom from the linguistic and cultural diversity inherent in their students if the goal is to ensure their academic success. This discourse shall succinctly encapsulate the foundational tenets posited within the book, further substantiated through specific instances and illustrative cases. According to the tenets laid out in "The Skin That We Speak," language emerges as a potent medium for both self-expression and self-identification.

The authors contend that mainstream educational settings frequently marginalize or undervalue students' cultural origins and linguistic practices, fostering sentiments of alienation and disengagement. A case in point is the treatment of African American Vernacular English (AAVE), which, as highlighted by Delpit, is often stigmatized and unjustly deemed "incorrect" or "uneducated" within the classroom context. Teachers who fail to acknowledge the vitality and diversity of AAVE may inadvertently alienate their African American students from the educational milieu. In the realm of quality education, effective classroom management and culturally sensitive pedagogy emerge as twin pillars. The rubric "classroom management" encompasses the array of techniques employed by educators to cultivate an environment conducive to learning. In contrast, culturally sensitive teaching signifies an approach that esteems and accommodates the multifaceted cultural identities, experiences, and perspectives of students (Achinstein & Ogawa, 2012; Alfred, 2009; Barnes & McCallops, 2019; T. O. Jackson, 2015). The ensuing discourse explores the harmonious fusion of culturally responsive education with traditional classroom management, elucidating the dividends it bestows upon the educational endeavour

Both effective classroom management and culturally sensitive instruction coalesce in their unwavering commitment to providing a classroom environment that is not only welcoming but also safe, nurturing a milieu where students can actively engage in the learning process. The cultivation of predictability and stability is a pivotal facet of effective classroom management, attained through the establishment of unequivocal expectations and procedures, thereby enhancing the learning experience (Chepyator-Thomson, 1994; Coffey & Farinde-Wu, 2016; Shealey et al., 2011; Souto-Manning, 2009). This fortification of a stable and secure classroom environment assumes added significance for adolescents who might be grappling with external stressors or traumatic experiences. In contrast, culturally responsive education elevates the significance of crafting a learning environment where students hailing from diverse cultural backgrounds feel valued and respected (Bottoms et al., 2017; Donahue-Keegan et al., 2019; Lucey & White, 2017; Marshall & DeCapua, 2013). Teachers, through the thoughtful design of an environment that is attuned to their students' cultural heritage, can foster an atmosphere that is not merely conducive to learning but also radiates a sense of inclusivity.

The amalgamation of effective classroom management with culturally sensitive instruction finds a harmonious expression in restorative justice methods. Instead of resorting to punitive measures for misbehaviour, restorative justice endeavours to repair the harm caused and rekindle fractured relationships (Alhanachi et al., 2021; Daniel, 2016; Gordon & Espinoza, 2020; McKoy et al., 2017). The application of restorative justice methods, in tandem with other culturally responsive techniques such as student-centered learning and the incorporation of authentic cultural artifacts, can effectively facilitate the resolution of conflicts and nurture a collaborative and supportive learning community. For instance, when two students are embroiled in a dispute, a teacher may orchestrate a restorative justice circle to mediate their differences and subsequently employ literary or historical works that resonate with the cultural backgrounds of the students, engendering a deeper understanding of the issue at hand (Evans et al., 2020; O'Keeffe et al., 2019; Samuels, 2018; Wlodkowski & Ginsberg, 1995b).

Another strategy to amalgamate culturally sensitive instruction with effective classroom management lies in the implementation of positive behavior supports. These techniques encompass methods and tools designed to reinforce positive conduct while discouraging or correcting undesirable behaviors. By seamlessly integrating positive behavior supports into their pedagogical practices, educators can render their classrooms into more conducive learning environments (Fitchett et al., 2012; Ginsberg, 2015; Samuels et al., 2017). Augmented by other culturally sensitive teaching strategies, such as affording students greater autonomy in the curriculum and the utilization

of a range of culturally aligned teaching practices, positive behavior supports further bolster the efficacy of these approaches (Bui & Fagan, 2013; Irizarry, 2007; Rahmawati & Ridwan, 2017; Warren, 2018).

## 14. Conclusion

The Universal Design for Learning (UDL) framework represents a comprehensive educational approach that places paramount importance on adaptability and the principle of inclusivity for all students. It conscientiously acknowledges the broad spectrum of academic and socioeconomic backgrounds from which students hail, thereby underscoring the necessity for teachers to possess the pedagogical acumen to custom-tailor their lessons according to the unique requisites of their individual students. In stark contrast, Culturally Responsive Teaching (CRT) constitutes a pedagogical methodology that aspires to seamlessly integrate the diverse cultural heritages and experiences of students into the educational process. It inherently ascribes value and recognition to the rich tapestry of cultural diversity amongst the student body.

Both Universal Design for Learning and Critical Race Theory collectively strive to render the educational landscape more inviting for students from diverse backgrounds, in full cognizance of the myriad cultural and socioeconomic variances that these students encompass. In a UDL-accommodating classroom, students are offered a diverse array of media formats, encompassing textual, visual (including photographic and videographic), to facilitate their acquisition of identical subject matter. This pedagogical approach accentuates flexibility and adaptability in ensuring that all students, regardless of their individual learning profiles, can access and comprehend the educational content presented. In contrast, the CRT classroom engenders an atmosphere of heightened openness, encouraging students to engage in discussions regarding their cultural backgrounds and worldviews with their peers. This process is instrumental in fostering a more holistic and enriched educational milieu, wherein the diverse perspectives and experiences of all students are venerated.

One avenue for bridging the convergence of UDL and CRT is to incorporate materials and resources that conscientiously cater to the diverse cultural backgrounds of the students. In a history class, for instance, the educator may judiciously opt for a textbook that, in addition to elucidating the prevailing historical narrative, also integrates the narratives of underrepresented demographic groups. Similarly, in a science class, the instructor may resort to a rich tapestry of culturally diverse case studies that exemplify the principles of scientific inquiry. The notion of differentiated instruction emerges as another conduit for effectuating the synergy between Universal Design for Learning and Culturally Responsive Teaching. In a UDL-based classroom, educators wield greater latitude in terms of their methodologies for content delivery to the students.

Conversely, in a CRT-oriented pedagogical setting, teachers are afforded the flexibility to meticulously customize their lessons, aligning them with the distinctive cultural backgrounds and experiences of their students. By way of example, educators can foster enhanced accessibility to mathematical principles in a classroom with a diverse array of cultural backgrounds by deploying currencies or culinary elements from diverse cultures. A third avenue through which the alignment of UDL and CRT can be achieved resides in the domain of technology. Technological tools, when employed judiciously, can efficaciously facilitate various strategies encompassing representation, expression, and participation, within the framework of Universal Design for Learning. In a similar vein, the realm of technology can serve as a unifying platform in a CRT classroom. This involves leveraging technology to facilitate virtual interactions, where students from culturally disparate backgrounds can engage in meaningful exchanges and gain insight into the nuances of different cultures.

In summation, both Universal Design for Learning and Culturally Responsive Teaching are underpinned by the shared objective of cultivating a nurturing educational environment that is universally welcoming to students of diverse backgrounds and perspectives. Through the judicious amalgamation of these two pedagogical methodologies, educators can play an instrumental role in ensuring that all students, regardless of their unique backgrounds and abilities, are endowed with an equitable avenue to access the curriculum. In so doing, educators reinforce the fundamental principle that the distinctive cultural backgrounds and experiences of students are not only acknowledged but celebrated through the use of culturally responsive educational materials, individualized teaching methodologies, and the judicious deployment of technology.

**Funding Information:**
This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

**Disclosure Statement:**
No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

**Competing Interest:**
No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

**Data Availability Statement:**
Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed in this study.

## References


- Abacioglu, C. S., Volman, M., & Fischer, A. H. (2020). Teachers' multicultural attitudes and perspective taking abilities as factors in culturally responsive teaching. *British Journal of Educational Psychology, 90*(3), 736-752.
- Aceves, T. C., & Orosco, M. J. (2014). Culturally responsive teaching. *University of Florida*.
- Achinstein, B., & Ogawa, R. T. (2012). New teachers of color and culturally responsive teaching in an era of educational accountability: Caught in a double bind. *Journal of educational change, 13*, 1-39.
- Acquah, E. O., & Szelei, N. (2020). The potential of modelling culturally responsive teaching: pre-service teachers' learning experiences. *Teaching in Higher Education, 25*(2), 157-173.
- Acuff, J. B., Hirak, B., & Nangah, M. (2012). Dismantling a master narrative: Using culturally responsive pedagogy to teach the history of art education. *Art Education, 65*(5), 6-10.
- Alfred, M. V. (2009). Nonwestern immigrants in continuing higher education: A sociocultural approach to culturally responsive pedagogy. *The Journal of Continuing Higher Education, 57*(3), 137-148.
- Alhanachi, S., de Meijer, L. A., & Severiens, S. E. (2021). Improving culturally responsive teaching through professional learning communities: A qualitative study in Dutch pre-vocational schools. *International Journal of Educational Research, 105*, 101698.
- Atwater, M. M., Freeman, T. B., Butler, M. B., & Draper-Morris, J. (2010). A case study of science teacher candidates' understandings and actions related to the culturally responsive teaching of 'Other'students. *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education, 5*(3), 287-318.
- Averill, R., Anderson, D., Easton, H., Te Maro, P., Smith, D., & Hynds, A. (2009). Culturally responsive teaching of mathematics: Three models from linked studies. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, 40*(2), 157-186.
- Barnes, T. N., & McCallops, K. (2019). Perceptions of culturally responsive pedagogy in teaching SEL. *Journal for multicultural education, 13*(1), 70-81.
- Baskerville, D. (2009). Navigating the unfamiliar in a quest towards culturally responsive pedagogy in the classroom. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 25*(3), 461-467.
- Bassey, M. O. (2016). Culturally responsive teaching: Implications for educational justice. *Education Sciences, 6*(4), 35.
- Bennett, S. V. (2013). Effective facets of a field experience that contributed to eight preservice teachers' developing understandings about culturally responsive teaching. *Urban Education, 48*(3), 380-419.
- Bishop, R., Berryman, M., Cavanagh, T., & Teddy, L. (2007). Te Kōtahitanga Phase 3 Whānaungatanga: Establishing a culturally responsive pedagogy of relations in mainstream secondary school classrooms. *Wellington: Ministry of Education*, 81-90.
- Bond, L. (1998). Culturally responsive pedagogy and the assessment of accomplished teaching. *Journal of Negro Education*, 242-254.
- Bond, V. L. (2014). Culturally responsive teaching in the choral classroom. *The Choral Journal, 55*(2), 8.
- Bonner, E. P., & Adams, T. L. (2012). Culturally responsive teaching in the context of mathematics: A grounded theory case study. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education, 15*, 25-38.
- Bonner, P. J., Warren, S. R., & Jiang, Y. H. (2018). Voices from urban classrooms: Teachers' perceptions on instructing diverse students and using culturally responsive teaching. *Education and Urban Society, 50*(8), 697-726.
- Boon, H. J., & Lewthwaite, B. (2015). Development of an instrument to measure a facet of quality teaching: Culturally responsive pedagogy. *International Journal of Educational Research, 72*, 38-58.
- Bottoms, S. I., Ciechanowski, K., Jones, K., de la Hoz, J., & Fonseca, A. L. (2017). Leveraging the community context of Family Math and Science Nights to develop culturally responsive teaching practices. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 61*, 1-15.
- Brockenbrough, E. (2016). Becoming queerly responsive: Culturally responsive pedagogy for Black and Latino urban queer youth. *Urban Education, 51*(2), 170-196.
- Brown, D. F. (2004). Urban teachers' professed classroom management strategies: Reflections of culturally responsive teaching. *Urban Education, 39*(3), 266-289.
- Bui, Y. N., & Fagan, Y. M. (2013). The effects of an integrated reading comprehension strategy: A culturally responsive teaching approach for fifth-grade students' reading comprehension. *Preventing School Failure: Alternative Education for Children and Youth, 57*(2), 59-69.
- Callaway, R. F. (2016). *A correlational study of teacher efficacy and culturally responsive teaching techniques in a southeastern urban school district*: Gardner-Webb University.
- Cavendish, L. M. (2011). *Stories from international teachers: A narrative inquiry about culturally responsive teaching*. The University of Iowa,
- Chen, D., & Yang, X. (2017). Improving active classroom participation of ESL students: Applying culturally responsive teaching strategies. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies, 7*(1), 79-86.
- Chepyator-Thomson, J. R. (1994). Multicultural education: Culturally responsive teaching. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance, 65*(9), 31-32.
- Chou, P.-I., Su, M.-H., & Wang, Y.-T. (2018). Transforming teacher preparation for culturally responsive teaching in Taiwan. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 75*, 116-127.
- Chu, S.-Y., & Garcia, S. (2014). Culturally responsive teaching efficacy beliefs of in-service special education teachers. *Remedial and Special Education, 35*(4), 218-232.

- Chuang, H. H., Shih, C. L., & Cheng, M. M. (2020). Teachers' perceptions of culturally responsive teaching in technology-supported learning environments. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 51*(6), 2442-2460.
- Civitillo, S., Juang, L. P., Badra, M., & Schachner, M. K. (2019). The interplay between culturally responsive teaching, cultural diversity beliefs, and self-reflection: A multiple case study. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 77*, 341-351.
- Coffey, H., & Farinde-Wu, A. (2016). Navigating the journey to culturally responsive teaching: Lessons from the success and struggles of one first-year, Black female teacher of Black students in an urban school. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 60*, 24-33.
- Cole, M. W., David, S. S., & Jiménez, R. T. (2016). Collaborative translation: Negotiating student investment in culturally responsive pedagogy. *Language Arts, 93*(6), 430-443.
- Conrad, N. K., Gong, Y., Sipp, L., & Wright, L. (2004). Using text talk as a gateway to culturally responsive teaching. *Early Childhood Education Journal, 31*, 187-192.
- Cruz, R. A., Manchanda, S., Firestone, A. R., & Rodl, J. E. (2020). An examination of teachers' culturally responsive teaching self-efficacy. *Teacher Education and Special Education, 43*(3), 197-214.
- Dallavis, C. (2011). "Because that's who I am": Extending theories of culturally responsive pedagogy to consider religious identity, belief, and practice. *Multicultural Perspectives, 13*(3), 138-144.
- Daniel, S. M. (2016). Grappling with culturally responsive pedagogy: A study of elementary-level teacher candidates' learning across practicum and diversity coursework experiences. *The Urban Review, 48*(4), 579-600.
- Darrow, A.-A. (2013). Culturally responsive teaching: Understanding disability culture. *General Music Today, 26*(3), 32-34.
- Davis, B. M. (2012). *How to teach students who don't look like you: Culturally responsive teaching strategies*: Corwin Press.
- Day, L., & Beard, K. V. (2019). Meaningful inclusion of diverse voices: The case for culturally responsive teaching in nursing education. *Journal of Professional Nursing, 35*(4), 277-281.
- DeCapua, A. (2016). Reaching students with limited or interrupted formal education through culturally responsive teaching. *Language and Linguistics Compass, 10*(5), 225-237.
- Dickson, G. L., Chun, H., & Fernandez, I. T. (2016). The development and initial validation of the student measure of culturally responsive teaching. *Assessment for Effective Intervention, 41*(3), 141-154.
- Donahue-Keegan, D., Villegas-Reimers, E., & Cressey, J. M. (2019). Integrating social-emotional learning and culturally responsive teaching in teacher education preparation programs. *Teacher Education Quarterly, 46*(4), 150-168.
- Ebersole, M., Kanahele-Mossman, H., & Kawakami, A. (2016). Culturally Responsive Teaching: Examining Teachers' Understandings and Perspectives. *Journal of Education and Training Studies, 4*(2), 97-104.
- Edwards, S., & Edick Ph D, N. A. (2013). Culturally responsive teaching for significant relationships. *Journal of Praxis in Multicultural Education, 7*(1), 4.
- Edwards, S., & Kuhlman, W. (2007). Culturally responsive teaching: do we walk our talk? *Multicultural Education, 14*(4), 45-45.
- Epstein, T., Mayorga, E., & Nelson, J. (2011). Teaching about race in an urban history class: The effects of culturally responsive teaching. *Journal of social studies research, 35*(1), 2-21.
- Evans, L. M., Turner, C. R., & Allen, K. R. (2020). " Good Teachers" with" Good Intentions": Misappropriations of Culturally Responsive Pedagogy. *Journal of Urban Learning, Teaching, and Research, 15*(1), 51-73.
- Fasching-Varner, K. J., & Dodo Seriki, V. (2011). Moving beyond seeing with our eyes wide shut. A response to "There is no culturally responsive teaching spoken here". *Democracy and Education, 20*(1), 5.
- Fitchett, P. G., Starker, T. V., & Salyers, B. (2012). Examining culturally responsive teaching self-efficacy in a preservice social studies education course. *Urban Education, 47*(3), 585-611.
- Ford, B. A., Stuart, D. H., & Vakil, S. (2014). Culturally Responsive Teaching in the 21 st Century Inclusive Classroom. *Journal of the International Association of Special Education, 15*(2).
- Frye, B., Button, L., Kelly, C., & Button, G. (2010). Preservice teachers' self-perceptions and attitudes toward culturally responsive teaching. *Journal of Praxis in Multicultural Education, 5*(1), 5.
- Frye, B. J., & Vogt, H. A. (2010). The causes of underrepresentation of African American children in gifted programs and the need to address this problem through more culturally responsive teaching practices in teacher education programs. *Black History Bulletin, 73*(1), 11.
- Fullam, J. P. (2017). From seeing to believing: Using instructional video to develop culturally responsive teaching. *Journal for multicultural education, 11*(2), 131-148.
- Garcia, C., & Chun, H. (2016). Culturally responsive teaching and teacher expectations for Latino middle school students. *Journal of Latina/o Psychology, 4*(3), 173.
- Gay, G. (2002a). Culturally responsive teaching in special education for ethnically diverse students: Setting the stage. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education, 15*(6), 613-629.
- Gay, G. (2002b). Preparing for culturally responsive teaching. *Journal of teacher education, 53*(2), 106-116.
- Gay, G. (2010). *Culturally Responsive Teaching. Multicultural Education Series*: ERIC.
- Gay, G. (2013). Connections between classroom management and culturally responsive teaching. In *Handbook of classroom management* (pp. 353-380): Routledge.
- Gay, G. (2014). Culturally responsive teaching principles, practices, and effects. *Handbook of urban education, 1*(1), 353-372.
- Gay, G. (2015). The what, why, and how of culturally responsive teaching: International mandates, challenges, and opportunities. *Multicultural education review, 7*(3), 123-139.

- Gay, G. (2018). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice*: teachers college press.
- Gay, G. (2021). Culturally responsive teaching: Ideas, actions, and effects. In *Handbook of urban education* (pp. 212-233): Routledge.
- Genao, S. (2016). Culturally responsive pedagogy: Reflections on mentoring by educational leadership candidates. *Issues in Educational Research, 26*(3), 431-445.
- Gere, A. R., Buehler, J., Dallavis, C., & Haviland, V. S. (2009). A visibility project: Learning to see how preservice teachers take up culturally responsive pedagogy.
- Ginsberg, M. B. (2015). *Excited to learn: Motivation and culturally responsive teaching*: Corwin Press.
- Ginsberg, M. B., & Wlodkowski, R. J. (2009). *Diversity and motivation: Culturally responsive teaching in college*: John Wiley & Sons.
- Gist, C., Jackson, I., Nightengale-Lee, B., & Allen, K. (2019). Culturally responsive pedagogy in teacher education. In *Oxford research encyclopedia of education*.
- Gist, C. D. (2017). Culturally responsive pedagogy for teachers of color. *The New Educator, 13*(3), 288-303.
- Glynn, T., Cowie, B., Otrel-Cass, K., & Macfarlane, A. (2010). Culturally responsive pedagogy: Connecting New Zealand teachers of science with their Māori students. *The Australian Journal of Indigenous Education, 39*(1), 118-127.
- Gordon, S. P., & Espinoza, S. (2020). Instructional supervision for culturally responsive teaching. *Educational Considerations, 45*(3), 7.
- Grant, R. A., & Asimeng-Boahene, L. (2006). Culturally responsive pedagogy in citizenship education: Using African proverbs as tools for teaching in urban schools. *Multicultural Perspectives, 8*(4), 17-24.
- Griner, A. C., & Stewart, M. L. (2013). Addressing the achievement gap and disproportionality through the use of culturally responsive teaching practices. *Urban Education, 48*(4), 585-621.
- Gruenewald, D. A. (2014). Place-based education: Grounding culturally responsive teaching in geographical diversity. In *Place-based education in the global age* (pp. 161-178): Routledge.
- Gunn, A. A., Bennett, S. V., Alley, K. M., Barrera IV, E. S., Cantrell, S. C., Moore, L., & Welsh, J. L. (2021). Revisiting culturally responsive teaching practices for early childhood preservice teachers. *Journal of Early Childhood Teacher Education, 42*(3), 265-280.
- Gunn, A. A., Bennett, S. V., Evans, L. S., Peterson, B. J., & Welsh, J. L. (2013). Autobiographies in preservice teacher education: A snapshot tool as a building block to culturally responsive pedagogy.
- Hammond, Z. (2014). *Culturally responsive teaching and the brain: Promoting authentic engagement and rigor among culturally and linguistically diverse students*: Corwin Press.
- Han, H. S., Vomvoridi-Ivanović, E., Jacobs, J., Karanxha, Z., Lypka, A., Topdemir, C., & Feldman, A. (2014). Culturally responsive pedagogy in higher education: A collaborative self-study. *Studying Teacher Education, 10*(3), 290-312.
- Harding-DeKam, J. L. (2014). Defining culturally responsive teaching: The case of mathematics. *Cogent education, 1*(1), 972676.
- Harmon, D. A. (2012). Culturally Responsive Teaching though a Historical Lens: Will History Repeat Itself? *Interdisciplinary Journal of Teaching and Learning, 2*(1), 12-22.
- Harrison, N., & Skrebneva, I. (2020). Country as pedagogical: enacting an Australian foundation for culturally responsive pedagogy. *Journal of Curriculum Studies, 52*(1), 15-26.
- Haviland, D., & Rodriguez-Kiino, D. (2009). Closing the gap: The impact of professional development on faculty attitudes toward culturally responsive pedagogy. *Journal of Hispanic Higher Education, 8*(2), 197-212.
- Hayes, C., & Juárez, B. (2011). There is no culturally responsive teaching spoken here: A critical race perspective. *Democracy and Education, 20*(1), 1.
- Heitner, K. L., & Jennings, M. (2016). Culturally responsive teaching knowledge and practices of online faculty. *Online Learning, 20*(4), 54-78.
- Herrera, S. G. (2022). *Biography-driven culturally responsive teaching: Honoring race, ethnicity, and personal history*: Teachers College Press.
- Herrera, S. G., Holmes, M. A., & Kavimandan, S. K. (2012). Bringing theory to life: Strategies that make culturally responsive pedagogy a reality in diverse secondary classrooms. *International Journal of Multicultural Education, 14*(3).
- Hollins, E. R., & Oliver, E. I. (1999). *Pathways to success in school: Culturally responsive teaching*: Routledge.
- Howard, T., & Terry Sr, C. L. (2011). Culturally responsive pedagogy for African American students: Promising programs and practices for enhanced academic performance. *Teaching Education, 22*(4), 345-362.
- Howard, T. C. (2021). Culturally responsive pedagogy. *Transforming Multicultural Education Policy and Practice: Expanding Educational Opportunity*, 137.
- Hudson, L. M., Bergin, D. A., & Chryst, C. F. (1993). Enhancing culturally responsive pedagogy: Problems and possibilities. *Teacher Education Quarterly*, 5-17.
- Hutchison, L., & McAlister-Shields, L. (2020). Culturally responsive teaching: Its application in higher education environments. *Education Sciences, 10*(5), 124.
- Irizarry, J. G. (2007). Ethnic and urban intersections in the classroom: Latino students, hybrid identities, and culturally responsive pedagogy. *Multicultural Perspectives, 9*(3), 21-28.
- Irizarry, J. G., & Antrop-González, R. (2007). RicanStructing the discourse and promoting school success: Extending a theory of culturally responsive pedagogy for DiaspoRicans. *Centro Journal, 19*(2), 37-59.

- Irvine, J. J., & Armento, B. J. (2001). Culturally responsive teaching: Lesson planning for elementary and middle grades. *Education Review*.
- Iwai, Y. (2019). *Culturally responsive teaching in a global era: Using the genres of multicultural literature.* Paper presented at the The Educational Forum.
- Jabbar, A., & Hardaker, G. (2013). The role of culturally responsive teaching for supporting ethnic diversity in British University Business Schools. *Teaching in Higher Education, 18*(3), 272-284.
- Jackson, F. R. (1993). Seven strategies to support a culturally responsive pedagogy. *Journal of Reading, 37*(4), 298-303.
- Jackson, T. O. (2015). Perspectives and insights from preservice teachers of color on developing culturally responsive pedagogy at predominantly white institutions. *Action in Teacher Education, 37*(3), 223-237.
- Kea, C. D., & Trent, S. C. (2013). Providing culturally responsive teaching in field-based and student teaching experiences: A case study. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Teaching and Learning, 3*(2), 82-101.
- Kesler, T. (2011). Teachers' texts in culturally responsive teaching. *Language Arts, 88*(6), 419-428.
- Kieran, L., & Anderson, C. (2019). Connecting universal design for learning with culturally responsive teaching. *Education and Urban Society, 51*(9), 1202-1216.
- Knight, W. B. (2015). Culturally responsive teaching in art education. *International Journal of Arts Education, 13*(1), 70-89.
- Kozleski, E. B. (2010). Culturally Responsive Teaching Matters! *Online Submission*.
- Larke, P. (2013). Culturally responsive teaching in higher education: What professors need to know. *Counterpoints, 391*, 38-50.
- Larson, K. E., Pas, E. T., Bradshaw, C. P., Rosenberg, M. S., & Day-Vines, N. L. (2018). Examining how proactive management and culturally responsive teaching relate to student behavior: Implications for measurement and practice. *School Psychology Review, 47*(2), 153-166.
- Lee, C. D. (1998). Culturally responsive pedagogy and performance-based assessment. *Journal of Negro Education*, 268-279.
- Lee, C. D. (2004). Bridging home and school literacies: Models for culturally responsive teaching, a case for African-American English. *Handbook of research on teaching literacy through the communicative and visual arts*, 335-345.
- Lee, N. (2012). Culturally responsive teaching for 21st-century art education: Examining race in a studio art experience. *Art Education, 65*(5), 48-53.
- Lee, T. S., & Quijada Cerecer, P. D. (2010). (Re) claiming Native youth knowledge: Engaging in socio-culturally responsive teaching and relationships. *Multicultural Perspectives, 12*(4), 199-205.
- Leonard, J., Mitchell, M., Barnes-Johnson, J., Unertl, A., Outka-Hill, J., Robinson, R., & Hester-Croff, C. (2018). Preparing teachers to engage rural students in computational thinking through robotics, game design, and culturally responsive teaching. *Journal of teacher education, 69*(4), 386-407.
- Lew, M. M., & Nelson, R. F. (2016). New Teachers' Challenges: How Culturally Responsive Teaching, Classroom Management, & Assessment Literacy Are Intertwined. *Multicultural Education, 23*, 7-13.
- Lewthwaite, B., Owen, T., Doiron, A., Renaud, R., & McMillan, B. (2014). Culturally responsive teaching in Yukon First Nation settings: What does it look like and what is its influence? *Canadian Journal of Educational Administration and Policy*(155).
- Lucey, T. A., & White, E. S. (2017). Mentorship in Higher Education: Compassionate Approaches Supporting Culturally Responsive Pedagogy. *Multicultural Education, 24*(2), 11-17.
- Lynch, C., & Rata, E. (2018). Culturally responsive pedagogy: A New Zealand case study. *International Studies in Sociology of Education, 27*(4), 391-408.
- Maasum, T. N. R. T. M., Maarof, N., & Ali, M. M. (2014). Addressing student diversity via culturally responsive pedagogy. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 134*, 101-108.
- Mackay, H., & Strickland, M. J. (2018). Exploring Culturally Responsive Teaching and Student-Created Videos in an At-Risk Middle School Classroom. *Middle Grades Review, 4*(1), n1.
- Markey, K., O'Brien, B., Kouta, C., Okantey, C., & O'Donnell, C. (2021). Embracing classroom cultural diversity: Innovations for nurturing inclusive intercultural learning and culturally responsive teaching. *Teaching and Learning in Nursing, 16*(3), 258-262.
- Marshall, H. W., & DeCapua, A. (2013). *Making the transition to classroom success: Culturally responsive teaching for struggling language learners*: University of Michigan Press.
- Martin, F., Pirbhai-Illich, F., & Pete, S. (2017). Beyond culturally responsive pedagogy: Decolonizing teacher education. *Culturally responsive pedagogy: Working towards decolonization, indigeneity and interculturalism*, 235-256.
- Martins-Shannon, J., & White, M. (2012). Support culturally responsive teaching! *Kappa Delta Pi Record, 48*(1), 4-6.
- McCallops, K., Barnes, T. N., Berte, I., Fenniman, J., Jones, I., Navon, R., & Nelson, M. (2019). Incorporating culturally responsive pedagogy within social-emotional learning interventions in urban schools: An international systematic review. *International Journal of Educational Research, 94*, 11-28.
- McKinley, J. (2010). *Raising black students' achievement through culturally responsive teaching*: ASCD.
- McKoy, C. L., & Lind, V. R. (2022). *Culturally responsive teaching in music education: From understanding to application*: Taylor & Francis.
- McKoy, C. L., MacLeod, R. B., Walter, J. S., & Nolker, D. B. (2017). The impact of an in-service workshop on cooperating teachers' perceptions of culturally responsive teaching. *Journal of Music Teacher Education, 26*(2), 50-63.

- Mette, I. M., Nieuwenhuizen, L., & Hvidston, D. J. (2016). Teachers' Perceptions of Culturally Responsive Pedagogy and the Impact on Leadership Preparation: Lessons for Future Reform Efforts. *International Journal of Educational Leadership Preparation, 11*(1), n1.
- Moore, A. L., Giles, R. M., & Vitulli, P. (2021). Prepared to respond? Investigating preservice teachers' perceptions of their readiness for culturally responsive teaching. *International Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning, 15*(1), 10.
- Morrison, A., Rigney, L.-I., Hattam, R., & Diplock, A. (2019). *Toward an Australian culturally responsive pedagogy: A narrative review of the literature*: University of South Australia.
- Muñiz, J. (2019). Culturally Responsive Teaching: A 50-State Survey of Teaching Standards. *New America*.
- Muñiz, J. (2020). Culturally responsive teaching: a reflection guide. *New America*.
- Ndemanu, M. T., & Jordan, S. (2018). Culturally responsive pedagogy for African immigrant children in US P-12 schools. *Journal of Black Studies, 49*(1), 71-84.
- O'Keeffe, L., Paige, K., & Osborne, S. (2019). Getting started: Exploring pre-service teachers' confidence and knowledge of culturally responsive pedagogy in teaching mathematics and science. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education, 47*(2), 152-175.
- O'Leary, E. S., Shapiro, C., Toma, S., Sayson, H. W., Levis-Fitzgerald, M., Johnson, T., & Sork, V. L. (2020). Creating inclusive classrooms by engaging STEM faculty in culturally responsive teaching workshops. *International Journal of STEM education, 7*, 1-15.
- Özüdogru, F. (2018). The Readiness of Prospective Teachers for Culturally Responsive Teaching. *Acta Didactica Napocensia, 11*, 1-12.
- Pewewardy, C., & Hammer, P. C. (2003). Culturally Responsive Teaching for American Indian Students. ERIC Digest.
- Phuntsog, N. (1999). The magic of culturally responsive pedagogy: In search of the genie's lamp in multicultural education. *Teacher Education Quarterly*, 97-111.
- Phuntsog, N. (2001). Culturally responsive teaching: What do selected United States elementary school teachers think? *Intercultural Education, 12*(1), 51-64.
- Pirbhai-Illich, F., Pete, S., & Martin, F. (2017). *Culturally Responsive Pedagogy*: Springer.
- Portes, P. R., González Canché, M., Boada, D., & Whatley, M. E. (2018). Early evaluation findings from the instructional conversation study: Culturally responsive teaching outcomes for diverse learners in elementary school. *American Educational Research Journal, 55*(3), 488-531.
- Ragoonaden, K., & Mueller, L. (2017). Culturally responsive pedagogy: Indigenizing curriculum. *Canadian Journal of Higher Education, 47*(2), 22-46.
- Rahmawati, Y., & Ridwan, A. (2017). Empowering students' chemistry learning: The integration of ethnochemistry in culturally responsive teaching. *Chemistry: Bulgarian Journal of Science Education, 26*(6), 813-830.
- Rhodes, C. M. (2017). A validation study of the Culturally Responsive Teaching Survey. *Universal Journal of Educational Research, 5*(1), 45-53.
- Richards, H. V., Brown, A. F., & Forde, T. B. (2007). Addressing diversity in schools: Culturally responsive pedagogy. *Teaching exceptional children, 39*(3), 64-68.
- Rigney, L., & Hattam, R. (2018). *Toward a decolonizing Australian culturally responsive pedagogy*. Paper presented at the American Education Research Association Annual Meeting. New York.
- Rockich-Winston, N., & Wyatt, T. R. (2019). The case for culturally responsive teaching in pharmacy curricula. *American journal of pharmaceutical education, 83*(8).
- Rychly, L., & Graves, E. (2012). Teacher characteristics for culturally responsive pedagogy. *Multicultural Perspectives, 14*(1), 44-49.
- Samuels, A. J. (2018). Exploring Culturally Responsive Pedagogy: Teachers' Perspectives on Fostering Equitable and Inclusive Classrooms. *SRATE Journal, 27*(1), 22-30.
- Samuels, A. J., Samuels, G. L., & Cook, T. M. (2017). Examining perceptions of culturally responsive pedagogy in teacher preparation and teacher leadership candidates. *SRATE Journal, 26*(2), 50-60.
- Schmidt, P. R., & Ma, W. (2006). *50 Literacy Strategies for Culturally Responsive Teaching, K-8*: SAGE.
- Shaw, J. T. (2016). "The Music I Was Meant to Sing" Adolescent Choral Students' Perceptions of Culturally Responsive Pedagogy. *Journal of Research in Music Education, 64*(1), 45-70.
- Shealey, M. W., McHatton, P. A., & Wilson, V. (2011). Moving beyond disproportionality: The role of culturally responsive teaching in special education. *Teaching Education, 22*(4), 377-396.
- Sherwood, D., VanDeusen, K., Weller, B., & Gladden, J. (2021). Teaching note—Teaching trauma content online during COVID-19: A trauma-informed and culturally responsive pedagogy. *Journal of Social Work Education, 57*(sup1), 99-110.
- Shevalier, R., & McKenzie, B. A. (2012). Culturally responsive teaching as an ethics-and care-based approach to urban education. *Urban Education, 47*(6), 1086-1105.
- Siwatu, K. O. (2007). Preservice teachers' culturally responsive teaching self-efficacy and outcome expectancy beliefs. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 23*(7), 1086-1101.
- Siwatu, K. O. (2011). Preservice teachers' culturally responsive teaching self-efficacy-forming experiences: A mixed methods study. *The Journal of educational research, 104*(5), 360-369.
- Siwatu, K. O., Chesnut, S. R., Alejandro, A. Y., & Young, H. A. (2016). Examining preservice teachers' culturally responsive teaching self-efficacy doubts. *The Teacher Educator, 51*(4), 277-296.

- Sleeter, C. (2010). Afterword--culturally responsive teaching: A reflection. *Journal of Praxis in Multicultural Education, 5*(1), 12.
- Sleeter, C. E. (2011). An agenda to strengthen culturally responsive pedagogy. *English teaching: Practice and critique, 10*(2), 7-23.
- Sleeter, C. E. (2012). Confronting the marginalization of culturally responsive pedagogy. *Urban Education, 47*(3), 562-584.
- Sleeter, C. E., & Cornbleth, C. (2011). *Teaching with Vision: Culturally Responsive Teaching in Standards-Based Classrooms*: ERIC.
- Smith, D. R., & Ayers, D. F. (2006). Culturally responsive pedagogy and online learning: Implications for the globalized community college. *Community College Journal of Research and Practice, 30*(5-6), 401-415.
- Smith, J. M. (2020). Practice what you preach: Culturally responsive pedagogy during Covid-19. *Issues in Teacher Education, 29*, 23-34.
- Souto-Manning, M. (2009). Negotiating culturally responsive pedagogy through multicultural children's literature: Towards critical democratic literacy practices in a first grade classroom. *Journal of Early Childhood Literacy, 9*(1), 50-74.
- Sparks III, W. G. (1994). Culturally responsive pedagogy: A framework for addressing multicultural issues. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance, 65*(9), 33-61.
- Stairs, A. J. (2007). Culturally responsive teaching: The Harlem Renaissance in an urban English class. *English Journal*, 37-42.
- Stoicovy, C. (2002). A case for culturally responsive pedagogy. *International Research in Geographical and Environmental Education, 11*(1), 80-84.
- Stowe, R. (2017). Culturally responsive teaching in an Oglala Lakota classroom. *The Social Studies, 108*(6), 242-248.
- Subero, D., Vila, I., & Esteban-Guitart, M. (2015). Some Contemporary Forms of the Funds of Knowledge Approach. Developing Culturally Responsive Pedagogy for Social Justice. *International Journal of Educational Psychology, 4*(1), 33-53.
- Tanase, M. F. (2022). Culturally responsive teaching in urban secondary schools. *Education and Urban Society, 54*(4), 363-388.
- Taylor, S. V., & Sobel, D. M. (2011). *Culturally responsive pedagogy: Teaching like our students' lives matter* (Vol. 4): Brill.
- Tisdell, E. J. (2006). Spirituality, cultural identity, and epistemology in culturally responsive teaching in higher education. *Multicultural Perspectives, 8*(3), 19-25.
- Vavrus, M. (2008). Culturally responsive teaching. *21st century education: A reference handbook, 2*, 49-57.
- Villegas, A. M. (1991). *Culturally Responsive Pedagogy for the 1990s and Beyond. Trends and Issues Paper No. 6*: ERIC.
- Walter, J. S. (2018). Global perspectives: Making the shift from multiculturalism to culturally responsive teaching. *General Music Today, 31*(2), 24-28.
- Ware, F. (2006). Warm demander pedagogy: Culturally responsive teaching that supports a culture of achievement for African American students. *Urban Education, 41*(4), 427-456.
- Warren, C. A. (2018). Empathy, teacher dispositions, and preparation for culturally responsive pedagogy. *Journal of teacher education, 69*(2), 169-183.
- Wearmouth, J. (2017). Employing culturally responsive pedagogy to foster literacy learning in schools. *Cogent education, 4*(1), 1295824.
- Whitaker, M. C., & Valtierra, K. M. (2018). The dispositions for culturally responsive pedagogy scale. *Journal for multicultural education, 12*(1), 10-24.
- Wiens, K. F. (2015). Considering culturally responsive teaching, children, and place in the music room. *General Music Today, 29*(1), 19-23.
- Wlodkowski, R. J., & Ginsberg, M. B. (1995a). *Diversity & Motivation: Culturally Responsive Teaching. Jossey-Bass Higher and Adult Education Series. Jossey-Bass Education Series, Jossey-Bass Social and Behavioral Science Series*: ERIC.
- Wlodkowski, R. J., & Ginsberg, M. B. (1995b). A framework for culturally responsive teaching. *Educational Leadership, 53*(1), 17-21.
- Woodley, X., Hernandez, C., Parra, J., & Negash, B. (2017). Celebrating difference: Best practices in culturally responsive teaching online. *TechTrends, 61*, 470-478.
- Young, S., & Sternod, B. M. (2011). Practicing culturally responsive pedagogy in physical education. *Journal of Modern Education Review, 1*(1), 1-9.
- Yuan, T., & Jiang, H. (2019). Culturally responsive teaching for children from low-income, immigrant families. *Young Exceptional Children, 22*(3), 150-161.
- Zorba, M. G. (2020). Personal and professional readiness of in-service teachers of English for culturally responsive teaching. *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research, 20*(88), 41-66.